

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Heterogeneities in confined water and protein hydration water

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 504105 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/21/50/504105) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 06:23

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 504105 (13pp)

Heterogeneities in confined water and protein hydration water

H E Stanley¹, P Kumar¹, S Han¹, M G Mazza¹, K Stokely¹, S V Buldyrev^{1,2}, G Franzese³, F Mallamace⁴ and L Xu⁵

¹ Center for Polymer Studies and Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

 2 Department of Physics, Yeshiva University, 500 West 185th Street, New York, NY 10033, USA

³ Departament de Física Fonamental, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain

⁴ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Messina, Villaggio S Agata, CP 55, I-98166 Messina, Italy

⁵ World Premier International (WPI) Research Center, Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

E-mail: hes@bu.edu

Received 19 August 2009, in final form 9 October 2009 Published 23 November 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/21/504105

Abstract

We report recent efforts to understand a broad range of experiments on confined water and protein hydration water, many initiated by a collaboration between workers at the University of Messina and MIT—the editors of this special issue. Preliminary calculations are not inconsistent with one tentative interpretation of these experiments as resulting from the system passing from the high-temperature high-pressure 'HDL' side of the Widom line (where the liquid might display non-Arrhenius behavior) to the low-temperature low-pressure 'LDL' side of the Widom line (where the liquid might display Arrhenius behavior). The Widom line—defined to be the line in the pressure–temperature plane where the correlation length has its maximum—arises if there is a critical point. Hence, interpreting the Messina–MIT experiments in terms of a Widom line is of potential relevance to testing, experimentally, the hypothesis that water displays a liquid–liquid critical point.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

Dedicated to Professor Francesco Mallamace, University of Messina, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

1. Introduction

One 'mysterious' property of liquid water was recognized 300 years ago [1]: although most liquids contract as temperature decreases, liquid bulk water begins to expand when its temperature drops below 4 °C. Indeed, a simple kitchen experiment demonstrates that the bottom layer of a glass of unstirred iced water remains at 4 °C while colder layers of 0 °C water 'float' on top (cf figure 1, and also figure 1 of [2]). The mysterious properties of liquid bulk water become more pronounced in the supercooled region below 0 °C [3–6]. For example, if the coefficient of thermal expansion α_P , isothermal compressibility K_T and constant-pressure specific heat C_P are

extrapolated below the lowest temperatures measurable they would become infinite at a temperature of $T_{\rm s} \approx 228$ K [4, 7].

Water is a liquid, but glassy water—also called amorphous ice—can exist when the temperature drops below the glass transition temperature T_g . Although it is a solid, its structure exhibits a disordered molecular liquid-like arrangement. *Lowdensity* amorphous ice (LDA) has been known for 60 years [8], and a second kind of amorphous ice, *high-density* amorphous ice (HDA), was discovered in 1984 [9–11]. HDA has a structure similar to that of high-pressure liquid water, suggesting that HDA may be a glassy form of high-pressure water [12, 13], just as LDA may be a glassy form of lowpressure water. Water has at least two different amorphous



Figure 1. One of the 64 documented water anomalies (for the other 63 see, e.g., www.lsbu.ac.uk/water/anmlies.html). This anomaly is known to all who sailed in the *Titanic*: only 10% of the ice is above the surface. Courtesy of Professor M C Barbosa.

solid forms, a phenomenon called *polyamorphism* [14–20], and recently additional forms of glassy water have been the focus of active experimental and computational investigation [21–29].

2. Current hypotheses

Many classic 'explanations' for the mysterious behavior of liquid bulk water have been developed [30–35], including a simple two-state model dating back to Röntgen [36] and a clathrate model dating back to Pauling [37]. Three hypotheses are under current discussion:

- (i) The *stability limit hypothesis* [38], which assumes that the spinodal temperature line $T_s(P)$ in the pressure–temperature (P-T) phase diagram connects at negative P to the locus of the liquid-to-gas spinodal for superheated bulk water. Liquid water cannot exist when cooled or stretched beyond the line $T_s(P)$.
- (ii) The singularity-free hypothesis [39], considers the possibility that the observed polyamorphic changes in water resemble a genuine transition, but are not. For example, if water is a locally structured transient gel comprised of molecules held together by hydrogen bonds whose number increases as temperature decreases [40], then the local 'patches' or bonded sub-domains [41, 42] lead to enhanced fluctuations of specific volume and entropy and negative cross-correlations of volume and

entropy whose anomalies closely match those observed experimentally.

(iii) The *liquid–liquid (LL) phase transition hypothesis* [43] arose from MD studies on the structure and equation of state of supercooled bulk water and has received some support [44–48]. Below the hypothesized *second* critical point the liquid phase separates into two distinct liquid phases: a low-density liquid (LDL) phase at low pressures and a high-density liquid (HDL) at high pressure (figure 1). Bulk water near the known critical point at 647 K is a fluctuating mixture of molecules whose local structures resemble the liquid and gas phases. Similarly, bulk water near the hypothesized LL critical point is a fluctuating mixture of molecules whose local structures resemble the two phases, LDL and HDL. These enhanced fluctuations influence the properties of liquid bulk water, thereby leading to anomalous behavior.

One of the most remarkable physical and chemical phenomena is that of a critical point. One finds a single phase above a critical point (e.g. 'gas') but below it there exist two phases, distinct from each other by differing values of the specific volume and entropy—which are the two first partial derivatives of the Gibbs potential G = G(P, T), where P denotes the pressure and T the temperature.

These two phases are physically distinguishable only if one considers thermodynamic paths that cross a specific line, called a first-order phase transition line. Along such paths, theoretical models exhibit a non-analytic 'jump discontinuity' in the first derivatives of G = G(P, T). Specifically, the volume $V = (\partial G/\partial P)_T$, instead of decreasing smoothly with pressure (as it must!), jumps *discontinuously* by an amount ΔV , where $\Delta V \rightarrow 0$ as the critical point is approached. Similarly the entropy $S = (\partial G/\partial T)_P$, instead of increasing (as it must!) smoothly with temperature, jumps *discontinuously* by an amount ΔS , where $\Delta S \rightarrow 0$ as the critical point is approached.

The sign of the quantity $\Delta S/\Delta V$ determines the slope $(\partial P/\partial T)$ of the line of the first-order phase transition, due to the Clapeyron equation

$$\partial P / \partial T = \Delta S / \Delta V. \tag{1}$$

The above remarks pertain to analytic solutions of model systems displaying critical points. Experimentally, it is not easy to identify the line of first-order phase transitions due to a set of phenomena that fall under the general term 'metastability'. For bulk water, it is possible to cool down to the homogeneous nucleation temperature $T_{\rm H}$, approximately 235 K, before the liquid phase undergoes a first-order transition to a solid phase. For this reason, it is possible to study the properties of the liquid phase over a much broader temperature range. For confined water, and for protein hydration water, it appears possible to supercool well below 235 K as shown by Mallamace (University of Messina), to whom this conference is dedicated, and Chen (MIT). Their respective research groups have uncovered a rich set of both thermodynamic and dynamic phenomena which is being interpreted by them and by the Boston University group.

The most remarkable fact to emerge from the Mallamace– Chen experiments is that 'something happens' approximately 10 K lower than the homogeneous nucleation temperature of bulk water. There is no universal agreement on exactly how to interpret what is seen. One possibility is that the observed phenomena arise from the presence of a liquid– liquid (LL) critical point occurring at some temperature below the homogeneous nucleation temperature of the bulk liquid [2, 49–61, 40, 39, 62, 41, 63–67, 43, 68–75].

While this interpretation is consistent with the experimental data, it defies our intuition since fluid phase transitions normally separate into distinct liquid and gas phases, not two different liquids. However, the physical mechanism of the separation of a single-component fluid into a liquid and a gas applies equally to the separation of a single-component liquid into a high-density liquid (HDL) and a low-density liquid (LDL).

Why is this? The single attractive well in the intermolecular potential, no matter how shallow, implies that at sufficiently low temperatures the depth of the well normalized by T will be of sufficient size to generate a phase transition (figure 2(a)). Thus, for example, there are no 'permanent gases' (materials which cannot condense) despite what was once believed. Similarly, there are no perfect paramagnets (collections of spins that do not order), since again no matter how weak the spin–spin interaction is at sufficiently low temperatures, long-range order will arise.

Now consider a liquid whose potential, instead of one minimum (as in the Lennard-Jones potential) with one characteristic energy scale, has two minima (figure 2(b)). Such a situation could occur for a liquid with tetrahedral local symmetry, such as Si, SiO₂, P or water. Two such molecules experience an interaction energy that depends on their mutual orientation. If their mutual orientation resembles that of atmospheric pressure ice $I_{\rm h}$, then the energy has one value which, at ambient pressure, is the lowest energy. However, at very high pressure the minimum energy occurs when one molecule is rotated 90° with respect to its neighbor (figure 2(c)). Such a 'tango configuration' has its energy minimum at a distance approximately 10% smaller than that of ice $I_{\rm h}$. The above argument is supported by the fact that the solid phases of water include not only ice $I_{\rm h}$ but also ice VI, approximately 30% more dense than ice $I_{\rm h}$.

The LL critical point hypothesis has the feature that, if it were valid, it would connect the anomalies of water, such as the presence of not one but rather two forms of glassy water. Others [76–93, 24, 25] have begun to test the possible validity of this still rather tentative conjecture [73, 94–112, 109, 113–125].

To understand 'why' water might display such a strange feature, which Stuart Rice calls *liquid polymorphism* [59], we have attempted to create various tractable models that display an LL phase transition, and to find solutions of these models using both analytic solutions of the simplest cases and approximate solutions (such as by integral equation approaches) for the more complex cases. Results thus far are not definitive, and one goal of our current research is to attempt to seek new avenues whereby definitive work can be undertaken to test the truth or falsehood of the LL critical point hypothesis.



Figure 2. (a) An idealized system characterized by a pair interaction potential with a single attractive well. At low enough T ($T < T_c$) and high enough P ($P > P_c$), the system condenses into the 'liquid' well shown. (b) An idealized system characterized by a pair interaction potential whose attractive well has two sub-wells, the outer of which is deeper and narrower. For low enough T ($T < T_{c'}$) and low enough P ($P < P_{c'}$), the one-phase liquid can 'condense' into the narrow outer 'LDL' sub-well, thereby giving rise to an LDL phase, and leaving behind the high-density liquid phase occupying predominantly the inner sub-well. (c) Two idealized interaction clusters of water molecules in configurations that may correspond to the two sub-wells of (b).

3. Indirect experimental probe of the region below $T_{\rm H}$ ('no-man's land')

Mishima used a new method to probe the region below $T_{\rm H}$ and so provided a test for the hypothesized LL transition [81]. This paper—and its sequel for heavy water [82]—provide an indirect experimental probe of a large region of the *P*–*T* phase diagram that previously could not be explored, the region below $T_{\rm H}$. This 'no-man's land' is of considerable general interest because the hypothesized LL critical point, if it exists at all, is believed to lie in this region. Reference [81] found that, along the decompression-induced melting (DIM) line of ice IV, a discontinuity in the slope occurs, a significant finding since a melting line must have a smooth behavior unless it intersects some other relevant line in the phase diagram such as a line of LL phase transitions. Mishima measured metastable DIM



Figure 3. (a) Schematic phase diagram for the critical region associated with a liquid–gas critical point. Two features display mathematical singularities: the critical point and the liquid–gas coexistence. (b) The same, with the addition of the gas–liquid spinodal and the Widom line. Along the Widom line, thermodynamic response functions have extrema in their *T* dependence. (c) A hypothetical phase diagram for water of possible relevance to recent confined water neutron scattering experiments [87, 84, 226, 83]. (d) A sketch of the *P*–*T* phase diagram for the two-scale Jagla model. Adapted from [72].

curves of other high-pressure forms of ice and constructed the Gibbs potential surface of the liquid by knowing the Gibbs potential of the melting lines of each of the high-pressure ices and then interpolating between this set of lines. He found that a 'crease' emerges on the Gibbs potential surface of the liquid. Accordingly, a large volume change appears in the equation of state V(P, T) of liquid, given by the pressure derivative $\partial G/\partial P$. Moreover, the location of the kink in the DIM line of ice IV is exactly the same as the location of the LL transition on the Gibbs surface.

The LL critical point hypothesis is of interest outside the domain of confined water because the underlying mechanism responsible for the LL phase transition suggests that, for other liquids with local tetrahedral symmetry, analogous *anticorrelated* entropy/volume heterogeneities could appear and might indeed, under appropriate conditions, condense out as a low-density liquid phase [92, 126]. This possibility has motivated high-quality work on other materials [127–138] such as Si, SiO₂, C and P. For P [128, 138], the line of LL phase transitions has been probed experimentally. Recent experiments detect a first-order LL phase transition in yttrium oxide–aluminum oxide melts [139]. Thus it is quite possible that the LL critical point hypothesis fails for water, but nonetheless could prove useful in guiding research on other materials [132, 55].

4. Direct experimental probe of the 'no-man's land' region for confined water

Recently, the MIT group of Professor S-H Chen and the Messina group of F Mallamace succeeded in probing the noman's land by using the trick of confining liquid water to nanopores of diameters 16-20 Å [83, 84, 140-144]. The Boston University group, in collaboration with the MIT and Messina groups, performed calculations on models of bulk water and offered a possible interpretation of their experimental results [72]. The experimental results have also very recently been confirmed for confined water by Gallo et al [145]. Specifically, both groups found a correlation between the dynamic fragility transition and the 'Widom line', which is defined as the locus of correlation length maxima emanating from the hypothesized LL critical point of water [72]. Response functions are proportional to powers of the correlation length, and indeed one finds that the Widom line becomes asymptotically close to the loci of specific heat maxima C_P^{max} and thermal expansion coefficient maxima α_P^{max} [106, 110, 146, 147].

The preliminary findings of Xu *et al* [72] are consistent with a possible relation between the hypothesized LL critical point and the transition in the dynamics recently observed in neutron scattering experiments on confined water. More generally, they are finding that this connection between C_{px}^{Pax}

and the dynamic crossover may not be limited to the case of water, a hydrogen bond network forming liquid, but could be a more general feature of crossing the Widom line, and can also apply to confined water.

The conjectured interpretation of the MIT–Messina experiments relies on the concept of the Widom line, a concept not widely appreciated even though it has been known by experimentalists dating back to the 1958 PhD thesis of Levelt (now Levelt-Sengers) [148]. Since a Widom line arises only from a critical point, if the MIT–Messina experiments can be rationalized by a Widom line then they are consistent with the existence of an LL critical point in confined water [72].

By definition, in a first-order phase transition, thermodynamic functions discontinuously change as we cool the system along a path crossing the equilibrium coexistence line (figure 3(a), path β). However, in a *real* experiment, this discontinuous change may not occur at the coexistence line since a substance can remain in a supercooled metastable phase until a limit of stability (a spinodal) is reached [5] (figure 3(b), path β).

If the system is cooled isobarically along a path above the critical pressure P_c (figure 3(b), path α), the state functions continuously change from the values characteristic of a high-temperature phase (gas) to those characteristic of a low-temperature phase (liquid). The thermodynamic response functions which are the derivatives of the state functions with respect to temperature (e.g. C_P) have maxima at temperatures denoted $T_{\max}(P)$. Remarkably these maxima are still prominent far above the critical pressure [148, 149], and the values of the response functions at $T_{\max}(P)$ (e.g. C_P^{\max}) diverge as the critical point is approached. The lines of the maxima for different response functions asymptotically approach one another as the critical point is approached, since all response functions become expressible in terms of the correlation length. This asymptotic line is sometimes called the Widom line, and is often regarded as an extension of the coexistence line into the 'one-phase regime.'

Suppose now that the system is cooled at constant pressure P_0 . (i) If $P_0 > P_c$ (figure 3(b), path α), experimentally measured quantities will change dramatically but continuously in the vicinity of the Widom line (with huge fluctuations as measured by, for example, C_P). (ii) If $P_0 < P_c$ (figure 3(b), path β), experimentally measured quantities will change discontinuously if the coexistence line is actually seen. However, the coexistence line can be difficult to detect in a pure system due to metastability, and changes will occur only when the spinodal is approached where the gas phase is no longer stable.

In the case of water—the most important solvent for biological functions [51, 150]—a significant change in dynamical properties has been suggested to take place in deeply supercooled states [151, 50, 152, 153]. Unlike other network-forming materials [154], water behaves as a fragile liquid in the experimentally accessible window [50, 155, 156]. Based on analogies with other network-forming liquids and with the thermodynamic properties of the amorphous forms of water, it has been suggested that, at ambient pressure, liquid water should show a crossover between fragile behavior at high *T* to strong behavior at low *T* [157, 152, 158–160] in the deep supercooled region of the phase diagram below the homogeneous nucleation line. This region may contain the hypothesized LL critical point [43], the terminal point of a line of first-order LL phase transitions. Recently, dynamic crossovers in confined water were studied experimentally [161, 162, 87, 83] since nucleation can be avoided in confined geometries. Also, a dynamic crossover has been associated with the LL phase transition in both silicon and silica [129, 163]. We offered a very tentative interpretation of the observed fragility transition in water as arising from crossing the Widom line emanating from the hypothesized LL critical point [163] (figure 3, path α).

5. NMR proton chemical shift measurements as a new method for estimating the configurational part of the heat capacity $C_P(T)$

Recently, Mallamace and collaborators performed NMR proton chemical shift measurements as a new method for estimating the configurational part of the heat capacity This study introduces NMR proton $C_P(T)$ [164–166]. chemical shift measurements as a new method for estimating the configurational part of the heat capacity $C_P(T)$ that results from the hydrogen bonding of the water molecules. To test this new method, they measured the water proton chemical shift as a function of temperature by using the same confining system of recent nanoconfinement experiments [83, 84, 167, 168, 72, 169]. Specifically, they measured using NMR the proton chemical shift δ of supercooled nanoconfined water in the temperature range 195 K < T < 350 K. Since δ is directly connected to the magnetic shielding tensor, they discussed the data in terms of the local hydrogen bond geometry and order. They argue that the derivative $-(\partial \ln \delta / \partial T)_P$ should behave roughly as the constant-pressure specific heat $C_P(T)$, and they confirm this argument by detailed comparisons with literature values of $C_P(T)$ in the range 290–370 K. They found that $-(\partial \ln \delta / \partial T)_P$ displays a pronounced maximum upon crossing the locus of maximum correlation length at about 240 K, consistent with the LL critical point hypothesis for water, which predicts that $C_P(T)$ displays a maximum on crossing the Widom line. Because the NMR technique also gives the chemical shift of each sample nucleus with non-zero spin, such an approach may be applicable to more complex materials.

6. The possible relevance of the skin of water surrounding a macromolecule to its low-temperature glass transition

Both experiments and computer simulation studies have shown that hydrated proteins undergo a 'glass-like' transition near 200 K [170–172], above which proteins exhibit diffusive motion, and below which the proteins are trapped in harmonic modes. An important issue is to determine the effects of hydration water on this dynamical transition [173, 174]. Experiments and computer simulations suggested that, when

a protein is solvated, the protein glass transition is strongly coupled to the solvent, leading to the question of whether the protein glass transition is directly related to a dynamic transition in the surrounding solvent [175].

Using molecular dynamics simulations, Kumar and collaborators [176, 177] investigated the relation between the dynamic transitions of biomolecules (lysozyme and DNA) and the dynamic and thermodynamic properties of hydration water. They found that the dynamic transition of the macromolecules, sometimes called a 'protein glass transition', occurs at the temperature of dynamic crossover in the diffusivity of hydration water, and also coincides with the maxima of the isobaric specific heat C_P and the temperature derivative of the tetrahedral order parameter. They related these findings to the hypothesis of an LL critical point in water: their simulations are consistent with the possibility that the protein glass transition results from crossing the Widom line.

7. Translational and rotational dynamic heterogeneities

At temperatures where liquids have a diffusion constant similar to that of ambient temperature water, the translational and rotational diffusion, $D_{\rm t}$ and $D_{\rm r}$, respectively, are well described by the Stokes–Einstein (SE) relation $D_{\rm t} = k_{\rm B}T/6\pi\eta R$ and the Stokes–Einstein–Debye (SED) relation $D_{\rm r} = k_{\rm B}T/8\pi \eta R^3$. Here T is the temperature, η is the viscosity, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and R is the 'molecular' radius. Recently, the limits of the SE and SED relations have been an active field of experimental [167, 178], theoretical [179-182] and computational [183-188, 169, 189] research. The general consensus is that the SE and SED relations hold for lowmolecular-weight liquids for $T \ge 1.5T_{\rm g}$, where $T_{\rm g}$ is the glass transition temperature. For $T \leq 1.5T_{\rm g}$, deviations from either one or both of the SE and SED relations are observed. Experimentally, it is found that the SE relation holds for many liquids in their stable and weakly supercooled regimes, but when the liquid is deeply supercooled it overestimates $D_{\rm t}$ relative to η by as much as two or three orders of magnitude, a phenomenon usually referred to as the 'breakdown' of the SE relation. The situation for the SED relation is more complex. Some experimental studies found agreement with the predicted values of the SED relation even for deeply supercooled liquids, while others also claim a breakdown of the SED relation to the same extent as for the SE relation. The failure of these relations provides a clear indication of a fundamental change in the dynamics and relaxation of the system. Indeed, the changing dynamics of the liquid as it approaches the glass transition is well documented, but not yet fully understood [57, 190, 191].

There is a growing body of evidence [192, 193] that, upon cooling, a liquid does not become a glass in a spatially homogeneous fashion. Instead the system is characterized by the appearance of dynamical heterogeneities [192–194]. In the 'dynamical heterogeneities' view, the motion of atoms or molecules is highly spatially correlated. This phenomenon is often called 'spatially heterogeneous dynamics', since there are spatial regions in which the structural relaxation time can differ by orders of magnitude from the average over the entire system. The presence of these dynamic heterogeneities has been argued to give rise to the breakdown of the SE relation [182]. Since the derivation of the Einstein relation assumes uncorrelated motion of particles, it is reasonable that the emergence of correlations could result in a failure of the SE relation. Our aim is to assess the validity of the SE and SED relations in the SPC/E model of water, and consider to what extent the dynamic heterogeneities contribute to the SE and SED breakdown.

Computer simulations have been particularly useful for studying dynamic heterogeneities (see, e.g., [195–200]) since simulations have direct access to the details of the molecular motion. For water, the existence of regions of enhanced or reduced mobility has also been identified [200]. In particular, [200] identifies the clusters of molecules with greater *translational* (or center-of-mass) mobility with the hypothesized 'cooperatively rearranging regions' of the Adam–Gibbs approach [201]. For water, those dynamic heterogeneities are also accompanied by spatial heterogeneities [122, 41].

Mazza and collaborators [202-206] found that both the SE and SED relations break down at low temperature. To explore the relationship between these breakdowns and dynamic heterogeneities, they also calculate the SE and SED relations for subsets of the 7% 'fastest' and 7% 'slowest' molecules. They found that the SE and SED relations break down in both subsets, and that the breakdowns occur on all scales of mobility. Thus these breakdowns appear to be generalized phenomena, in contrast to a view where only the most mobile molecules are the origin of the breakdown of the SE and SED relations, embedded in an inactive background where these relations hold. At low temperature, the SE and SED relations in both subsets of molecules are replaced with 'fractional' SE and SED relations, $D_{\rm t} \sim (\tau/T)^{-\xi_{\rm t}}$ and $D_{\rm r} \sim (\tau/T)^{-\xi_{\rm r}}$ where $\xi_{\rm t} \approx$ 0.84 (<1) and $\xi_r \approx 0.75$ (<1). They also found that there is a decoupling between rotational and translational motion, and that this decoupling occurs in both fastest and slowest subsets of molecules. Further, they found that, when the decoupling increases upon cooling, the probability of a molecule being classified as both translationally and rotationally fastest also increases. To study the effect of timescale for SE and SED breakdown and decoupling, they introduce a time-dependent version of the SE and SED relations, and a time-dependent function that measures the extent of decoupling. Their results suggest that both the decoupling and SE and SED breakdowns originate at the timescale corresponding to the end of the cage regime, when diffusion starts. This is also the timescale when the dynamic heterogeneities are more relevant.

8. The possible cause of the experimentally observed breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein relation

In their Cozzarelli-Prize winning paper, the MIT and Messina group's experiments very recently showed that supercooled water exhibits a breakdown of the SE relation between the diffusion constant *D* and the alpha relaxation time τ_{α} [167]. For simulated water, they found that the temperature of the decoupling of diffusion and alpha relaxation correlates with the temperature of the maximum in specific heat that occurs at the

Widom line $T_W(P)$. Specifically, they found that their results for $D\tau_{\alpha}/T$ collapse onto a single master curve if temperature is replaced by $T - T_W(P)$, where $T_W(P)$ is the temperature where the constant-pressure specific heat achieves a maximum. Moreover they found that the size of the mobile molecule clusters (dynamical heterogeneities) increases sharply near $T_W(P)$. The crossover from the less structured locally highdensity liquid (HDL) environment at high T to the more structured locally low-density liquid (LDL) environment as $T \rightarrow T_W(P)$ appears to be correlated with both the breakdown of the SE relation and the growth of dynamic heterogeneities.

The breakdown of the SE relation is usually understood by the fact that diffusion at low temperatures is dominated by regions of fast moving molecules while the relaxation of the system as a whole is dominated by slow moving molecules. Consistent with this, Kumar *et al* [167, 169] found that the growth of mobile particle clusters occurs near the Widom line and the breakdown of the SE ratio for $P < P_c$. Thus the SE breakdown in water is consistent with the LL critical point hypothesis [81, 163, 54, 49, 50]. Their results are also consistent with recent experimental findings in confined water [83, 84, 167].

9. The appearance of the fractional Stokes–Einstein relation in water and a structural interpretation of its onset

Very recently, Mallamace and his collaborators presented experimental data on water demonstrating that, below a crossover temperature $T_{\times}~\approx~290$ K, the SE relation is replaced by a 'fractional' SE relation $D \sim (\tau/T)^{-\zeta}$ with $\zeta \approx 3/5$ [207]. They interpreted the microscopic origin of this crossover by analyzing the OH stretch region of the FTIR spectrum over a wide T range from 350 K down to 200 K. Simultaneous with the onset of fractional SE behavior, they found that water begins to develop a local structure like that of LDA, low-density amorphous solid H₂O. Specifically, as a first step to obtain a structural interpretation of this fractional SE behavior, they studied the infrared spectrum. For water, this spectrum can be split into two contributions, one resembling the spectrum of high-density amorphous (HDA) solid H₂O and the other resembling the spectrum of LDA. They interpret these two contributions as corresponding to water molecules with more HDA-like local structure, or more LDA-like local structure, respectively. With decreasing T, the LDA-like population increases, while the HDA-like population decreases. The fractional SE crossover temperature T_{\times} appears to roughly coincide with the onset of the increase of the population of molecules with LDA-like local structure (and a corresponding decrease of the population of the molecules with HDA-like local structure), consistent with the possibility that the changes in intramolecular vibrational properties may be connected to the onset of fractional SE behavior. Thus these data lead to an interpretation that the fractional SE relation in water arises from a specific change in local water structure. To further test this interpretation, they performed computer simulations of two molecular models, TIP5P and Jagla [121], and their simulation results supported the experimental observations.

10. Experimental method of testing the singularity-free scenario

Using Monte Carlo simulations and mean-field calculations Stokely et al [208] showed that a cell model of water proposed by Franzese et al [73, 75, 100] reproduces all four scenarios that have been discussed for water. Kumar et al [209–211] found that both the LL critical point and singularity-free (SF) scenarios exhibit a dynamic crossover at a temperature close to $T(C_P^{\max})$, which decreases for increasing P. They interpret the dynamic crossover as a consequence of a local breaking and reorientation of the bonds for the formation of new and more tetrahedrally oriented bonds. Above $T(C_p^{\text{max}})$, when T decreases, the number of hydrogen bonds increases, giving rise to an increasing activation energy E_A and to a non-Arrhenius dynamics. As T decreases, entropy must decrease. A major contributor to entropy is the orientational disorder, which is a function of $p_{\rm B}$, the probability of forming a hydrogen bond, as described by the mean-field expression for the entropy change ΔS with orientation. They found that, as T decreases, $p_{\rm B}$ increases. They found that the rate of increase has a maximum at $T(C_p^{\max})$, and as T continues to decrease this rate drops rapidly to zero-meaning that, for $T < T(C_P^{\max})$, the local order rapidly becomes temperatureindependent and the activation energy E_A also becomes approximately temperature-independent. Corresponding to this fact the dynamics becomes approximately Arrhenius.

They found that the relaxation time at the crossover temperature T_A is approximately independent of the pressure (isochronic crossover), consistent with their calculations of an almost constant number of bonds at $T(C_P^{\max})$. They found also that, in both scenarios, E_A and T_A decrease upon increasing P. Instead, the P dependence of the quantity $E_A/(k_BT_A)$ has a different behavior in the two scenarios. For the LL critical point scenario it increases as $P \rightarrow P_{c'}$, while it is approximately constant in the SF scenario. They interpret this difference as a consequence of the larger increase of the rate of change of $p_{\rm B}$ in the LL critical point scenario, where $p_{\rm B}$ diverges at finite $T_{\rm c'}$, compared to the SF scenario, where $p_{\rm B}$ can possibly diverge only at T = 0. Experiments can detect local changes of water structure from HDL-like to LDLlike, (e.g. [212]). Hence, it is possible to test the predictions of the dynamic consequences of this local change. Franzese et al [213] found that three of the four predictions made by Kumar et al in [209, 210] are verified in experiments. Indeed, Chen and collaborators verified that the crossover is isochronic, and that E_A and T_A decrease upon increasing P. The fourth prediction, about the behavior of $E_A/(k_BT_A)$, discriminating between the two possible scenarios, cannot be verified within the precision of the experiment [214].

Recently, Mazza *et al* [215] extended to lower temperatures the Kumar *et al* [209, 210] analysis, using a cluster Monte Carlo simulation method [216]. They found that C_P has a second maximum at very low T, associated with the increase of tetrahedral order in the hydrogen bond network of water [215] and clarify that the maximum at higher T found by Kumar *et al* [209, 210] is associated with the maximum fluctuation of the hydrogen bond formation but not necessarily with a larger tetrahedral order. They found that the two maxima of C_P approach each other and merge when $P \rightarrow P_c$ and that the two maxima separate for $P > P_c$. When the two maxima of C_P merge, then the Widom line is correctly defined because the fluctuations of the LDL-like and HDL-like regions can spread along the entire system.

11. Ongoing work

When data begin to deviate from extrapolations there must be an underlying reason for the deviation. These deviations include phenomena at temperatures as high as $46 \,^{\circ}$ C, $35 \,^{\circ}$ C and $4 \,^{\circ}$ C (sudden changes of the sign of the temperature derivatives of isothermal compressibility, isobaric specific heat and the sign of the coefficient of thermal expansion, respectively) [106, 110, 146, 147, 217]. Thus far there is no coherent and accepted explanation for these anomalies—even the anomalies that occur at biologically relevant temperatures. It is unfortunate that we cannot offer students a coherent explanation of water's behavior, even though water is 'essential for life,' and even though very small perturbations on pure water are incompatible with life (such as substituting water by heavy water).

One question we will focus on in ongoing work is 'what features of bulk water survive confinement'? Certainly the hydrogen bond network is perturbed, which explains why MCM-41 nanoconfined water remains liquid down to approximately 100 degrees lower than for bulk But what about the collective properties of water. water [218-222, 3, 223, 145, 224] which rely on the direct cooperative interactions among water molecules? How are these perturbed by confinement? For example, a confined magnet or fluid near its critical point behaves exactly as a bulk magnet or fluid until the system is so close to the critical point that its correlation length (the length scale over which the spins or molecules are correlated) increases to reach the size of the confining system. The MIT/Messina water experiments on MCM-41 confinement are typically carried out in tubes of diameter about 1.5-2.0 nm. Hence if we apply the principles of phase transition theory, the cooperative properties of the system may resemble those of a bulk system down to temperatures extremely close to the critical point.

Very recently Kumar and co-workers [225] introduced the space-dependent correlation function $C_O(r)$ and timedependent autocorrelation function $C_O(t)$ of the local tetrahedral order parameter $Q \equiv Q(r, t)$. Using computer simulations of 512 water-like particles interacting through the TIP5P potential, they investigated $C_Q(r)$ in a broad region of the phase diagram. They found that at low temperatures $C_O(t)$ exhibits a two-step time-dependent decay similar to the selfintermediate scattering function, and that the corresponding correlation time τ_O displays a dynamic crossover from non-Arrhenius behavior for $T > T_W$ to Arrhenius behavior for $T < T_{\rm W}$, where $T_{\rm W}$ denotes the Widom temperature where the correlation length has a maximum as T is decreased along a constant-pressure path. They defined a tetrahedral entropy S_O associated with the local tetrahedral order of water molecules and find that it produces a major contribution to the specific heat maximum at the Widom line, and showed that τ_Q can be extracted from S_Q using an analogue of the Adam–Gibbs relation.

Also very recently, Mazza *et al* [227] studied the correlation time of the tetrahedral correlation function for the cell model, discussing the existence of not one, but two, dynamic crossovers in this correlation function. Using the Adam–Gibbs relation, they associated these two crossovers with the two maxima in C_P found in [215], offering an interpretation of the recent experimental observation of two dynamic crossovers in protein hydration water [227].

Acknowledgments

We thank all our collaborators for performing much of the work reported here; in addition to those joining the speaker as coauthors of this paper, we thank C A Angell, M C Barbosa, P G Debenedetti, E La Nave, P Netz, P H Poole, A Scala, F Sciortino and F W Starr for many critical insights. We also thank the NSF Chemistry section for financial support. GF acknowledges the financial support by the MICINN-FEDER (Spain) grant FIS2009-10210. XLM acknowledges the financial support by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan. SVB acknowledges partial support through the Bernard W Gamson Computational Science Center at Yeshiva College.

References

- Waller R 1964 *Essays of Natural Experiments* (New York: Johnson Reprint Corporation) trans. (original in Italian by the Secretary of the Academie del Cimento, Facsimile of 1684 English translation)
- [2] Stanley H E 1999 Unsolved mysteries of water in its liquid and glass phases *Mater. Res. Bull.* 24 22–30 (edited transcript of Turnbull Prize lecture)
- [3] Mallamace F 2009 The liquid water polymorphism Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106 15097–8
- [4] Angell C A, Oguni M and Sichina W J 1982 Heat capacity of water at extremes of supercooling and superheating *J. Phys. Chem.* 86 998–1002
- [5] Debenedetti P G 1996 Metastable Liquids: Concepts and Principles (Princeton: Princeton University Press)
- [6] Fourkas J T, Kivelson D, Mohanty U and Nelson K A (ed) 1997 Supercooled Liquids: Advances and Novel Applications (Washington, DC: ACS Books)
- [7] Speedy R J and Angell C A 1976 Isothermal compressibility of supercooled water and evidence for a thermodynamic singularity J. Chem. Phys. 65 851–8
- [8] Burton E F and Oliver W F 1936 The crystal structure of ice at low temperatures *Proc. R. Soc.* A 153 166–72
- [9] Mishima O, Calvert L D and Whalley E 1984 'Melting' ice I at 77 K and 10 kbar: a new method of making amorphous solids *Nature* **310** 393–5
- [10] Heide H-G 1984 Observations on ice layers Ultramicroscopy 14 271–8
- [11] Mishima O, Calvert L D and Whalley E 1985 An apparently first-order transition between two amorphous phases of ice induced by pressure *Nature* 314 76–8
- [12] Bellissent-Funel M C, Bosio L, Hallbrucker A, Mayer E and Sridi-Dorbez R 1992 X-ray and neutron scattering studies of the structure of hyperquenched glassy water J. Chem. Phys. 97 1282–6

- [13] Bellissent-Funel M C and Bosio L 1995 A neutron scattering study of liquid D₂O J. Chem. Phys. 102 3727–35
- [14] Smith K H, Shero E, Chizmeshya A and Wolf G H 1995 The equation of state of polyamorphic germania glass: a two-domain description of the viscoelastic response J. Chem. Phys. 102 6851–7
- [15] Poole P H, Grande T, Sciortino F, Stanley H E and Angell C A 1995 Amorphous polymorphism J. Comput. Mater. Sci. 4 373–82
- [16] Finney J L 2004 Water? What's so special about it? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B **359** 1145–63
- [17] Mayer E 1994 Hyperquenched glassy bulk water: a comparison with other amorphous forms of water, and with vitreous but freezable water in a hydrogel and on hydrated methemoglobin Hydrogen Bond Networks ed M-C Bellissent-Funel and J C Dore (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic) pp 355–72
- [18] Mishima O 1996 Relationship between melting and amorphization of ice *Nature* 384 546–9
- [19] Johari G P, Hallbrucker A and Mayer E 1996 Two calorimetrically distinct states of liquid water below 150 kelvin Science 273 90–2
- [20] Essmann U and Geiger A 1995 Molecular-dynamics simulation of vapor-deposited amorphous ice J. Chem. Phys. 103 4678–92
- [21] Loerting T, Salzmann C, Kohl I, Mayer E and Hallbrucker A 2001 A second structural state of high-density amorphous ice at 77 K and 1 bar *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 3 5355
- [22] Finney J L, Bowron D T, Soper A K, Loerting T, Mayer E and Hallbrucker A 2002 Structure of a new dense amorphous ice *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 503–6
- [23] Tse J S, Klug D D, Guthrie M, Tulk C A, Benmore C J and Urquidi J 2005 Investigation of the intermediate- and high-density forms of amorphous ice by molecular calculations dynamics and diffraction experiments *Phys. Rev.* B **71** 214107
- [24] Brovchenko I, Geiger A and Oleinikova A 2003 Multiple liquid–liquid transitions in supercooled water J. Chem. Phys. 118 9473–6
- [25] Brovchenko I, Geiger A and Oleinikova A 2005 Liquid–liquid phase transitions in supercooled water studied by computer simulations of various water models J. Chem. Phys. 123 044515
- [26] Jedlovszky P and Vallauri R 2005 Liquid–vapor and liquid–liquid phase equilibria of the brodholt-sampoli-vallauri polarizable water model J. Chem. Phys. 122 081101
- [27] White J A 2004 Multiple critical points for square-well potential with repulsive shoulder *Physica* A 346 347–57
- [28] Christie J K, Guthrie M, Tulk C A, Benmore C J, Klug D D, Taraskin S N and Eliot S R 2005 Modeling the atomic structure of very high-density amorphous ice *Phys. Rev.* B 72 012201
- [29] Finney J L, Hallbrucker A, Kohl I, Soper A K and Bowron D T 2002 Structures of high and low density amorphous ice by neutron diffraction *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 225503
- [30] Eisenberg D and Kauzmann W 1969 *The Structure and Properties of Water* (New York: Oxford University Press)
- [31] Bernal J D and Fowler R H 1933 A theory of water and ionic solution, with particular reference to hydrogen and hydroxyl ions J. Chem. Phys. 1 515–48
- [32] Pople J A 1951 Molecular association in liquids. II. A theory of the structure of water *Proc. R. Soc.* A 205 163–78
- [33] Frank H S and Wen W-Y 1957 Structural aspects of ion-solvent interaction in aqueous solutions: a suggested picture of water structure *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* 24 133–40

- H E Stanley *et al*
- [34] Némethy G and Scheraga H A 1962 Structure of water and hydrophobic bonding in proteins: I. A model for the thermodynamic properties of liquid water *J. Chem. Phys.* 36 3382–400
- [35] Kamb B 1968 Ice polymorphism and the structure of water Structural Chemistry and Molecular Biology ed A Rich and N Davidson (San Francisco: Freeman) pp 507–42
- [36] Röntgen W C 1892 Ueber die constitution des flüssigen wassers Ann. Phys. Chem. 45 91–7
- [37] Pauling L 1959 The structure of water *Hydrogen Bonding* ed D Hadzi (New York: Pergamon) pp 1–5
- [38] Speedy R J 1982 Stability-limit conjecture: an interpretation of the properties of water J. Phys. Chem. 86 982–91
- [39] Sastry S, Debenedetti P, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 1996 Singularity-free interpretation of the thermodynamics of supercooled water *Phys. Rev.* E 53 6144–54
- [40] Stanley H E and Teixeira J 1980 Interpretation of the unusual behavior of H₂O and D₂O at low temperatures: tests of a percolation model *J. Chem. Phys.* 73 3404–22
- [41] Geiger A and Stanley H E 1982 Low-density patches in the hydrogen-bonded network of liquid water: evidence from molecular dynamics computer simulations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49 1749–52
- [42] Errington J R, Debenedetti P G and Torquato S 2002 Cooperative origin of low-density domains in liquid water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 215503
- [43] Poole P H, Sciortino F, Essmann U and Stanley H E 1992
 Phase behavior of metastable water *Nature* 360 324–8
- [44] Ponyatovskii E G, Sinitsyn V V and Pozdnyakova T A 1994 Second critical point and low-temperature anomalies in the physical properties of water *JETP Lett.* **60** 360–4
- [45] Moynihan C T 1997 Two species/nonideal solution model for amorphous/amorphous phase transition *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 455 411–25
- [46] Poole P H, Sciortino F, Grande T, Stanley H E and Angell C A 1994 Effect of hydrogen bonds on the thermodynamic behavior of liquid water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 1632–5
- [47] Borick S S, Debenedetti P G and Sastry S 1995 A lattice model of network-forming fluids with orientation-dependent bonding: equilibrium, stability, and implications from the phase behavior of supercooled water *J. Phys. Chem.* **99** 3781–93
- [48] Tejero C F and Baus M 1998 Liquid polymorphism of simple fluids within a van der Waals theory *Phys. Rev.* E 57 4821–3
- [49] Debenedetti P G and Stanley H E 2003 The physics of supercooled and glassy water *Phys. Today* 56 (6) 40–6
- [50] Debenedetti P G 2003 Supercooled and glassy water J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 R1669–726
- [51] Bellissent-Funel M-C (ed) 1999 Hydration Processes in Biology: Theoretical and Experimental Approaches (Proc. NATO Advanced Study Institutes vol 305) (Amsterdam: IOS Press)
- [52] Ludwig R 2007 The importance of tetrahedrally coordinated molecules for the explanation of liquid water properties *ChemPhysChem* 8 938–43
- [53] Mishima O and Stanley H E 1998 The relationship between liquid, supercooled and glassy water *Nature* 396 329–35
- [54] Angell C A 2004 Amorphous water Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 55 559–83
- [55] Brazhkin V, Buldyrev S V, Ryzhov V N and Stanley H E (ed) 2002 New kinds of phase transitions: transformations in disordered substances *Proc. NATO Advanced Research Workshop (Volga River)* (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- [56] Stanley H E 1999 Scaling, universality, and renormalization: three pillars of modern critical phenomena *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71 S358–66
- [57] Loerting T and Giovambattista N 2006 Amorphous ices: experiments and numerical simulations J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 R919–77

- [58] Brovchenko I and Oleinikova A 2008 Interfacial and Confined Water (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [59] Stanley H E and Rice S A (ed) 2009 Liquid Polymorphism (Advances in Chemical Physics)
- [60] Anisimov M A, Voronel A V, Zaugol'nikova N S and Ovodov G I 1972 Specific heat of water near the melting point and Ornstein–Zernike fluctuation corrections *JETP Lett.* 15 317–9
- [61] Stanley H E 1979 A polychromatic correlated-site percolation problem with possible relevance to the unusual behavior of supercooled H₂O and D₂O *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 12 L329–37
- [62] Rebelo L P N, Debenedetti P G and Sastry S 1998 Singularity-free interpretation of the thermodynamics of supercooled water. II. Thermal and volumetric behavior *J. Chem. Phys.* 109 626–33
- [63] Geiger A and Stanley H E 1982 Tests of universality of percolation exponents for a three-dimensional continuum system of interacting waterlike particles *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49 1895–8
- [64] Stanley H E, Teixeira J, Geiger A and Blumberg R L 1981 Interpretation of the unusual behavior of H₂O and D₂O at low temperature: are concepts of percolation relevant to the 'puzzle of liquid water'? *Physica* A 106 260–77
- [65] Sciortino F, Geiger A and Stanley H E 1990 Isochoric differential scattering functions in liquid water: the fifth neighbor as a network defect *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65 3452
- [66] Sciortino F, Geiger A and Stanley H E 1991 Effect of defects on molecular mobility in liquid water *Nature* 354 218–21
- [67] Sciortino F, Geiger A and Stanley H E 1992 Network defects and molecular mobility in liquid water J. Chem. Phys. 96 3857–65
- [68] Poole P H, Essmann U, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 1993 Phase diagram for amorphous solid water *Phys. Rev.* E 48 4605–10
- [69] Poole P H, Sciortino F, Essmann U and Stanley H E 1993 The spinodal of liquid water *Phys. Rev.* E 48 3799–817
- [70] Sciortino F, Poole P, Stanley H E and Havlin S 1990 Lifetime of the hydrogen bond network and gel-like anomalies in supercooled water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64 1686–9
- [71] Sciortino F, Poole P H, Essmann U and Stanley H E 1997 Line of compressibility maxima in the phase diagram of supercooled water *Phys. Rev.* E 55 727–37
- [72] Xu L, Kumar P, Buldyrev S V, Chen S-H, Poole P H, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 2005 Relation between the widom line and the dynamic crossover in systems with a liquid–liquid critical point *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 102 16558–62
- [73] Franzese G and Stanley H E 2002 Liquid–liquid critical point in a hamiltonian model for water: analytic solution *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 14 2201–9
- [74] Franzese G and Stanley H E 2002 A theory for discriminating the mechanism responsible for the water density anomaly *Physica* A **314** 508
- [75] Franzese G and Stanley H E 2007 The Widom line of supercooled water J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 205126
- [76] Engemann S, Reichert H, Dosch H, Bilgram J, Honkimäki V and Snigirev A 2004 Interfacial melting of ice in contact with SiO₂ Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 205701
- [77] Kim C U, Barstow B, Tate M W and Gruner S M 2009 Evidence for liquid water during the high-density to low-density amorphous ice transition *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 106 4596–600
- [78] Banerjee D, Bhat S N, Bhat S V and Leporini D 2009 ESR evidence for two coexisting liquid phases in deeply supercooled bulk water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106 11448–53
- [79] Bellissent-Funel M-C 1998 Evidence of a possible liquid–liquid phase transition in supercooled water by neutron diffraction *Nuovo Cimento* D 20 2107–22

- [80] Brazhkin V V, Popova S V and Voloshin R N 1997 High-pressure transformations in simple melts *High Pressure Res.* 15 267–305
- [81] Mishima O and Stanley H E 1998 Decompression-induced melting of ice IV and the liquid–liquid transition in water *Nature* 392 164–8
- [82] Mishima O 2000 Liquid–liquid critical point in heavy water Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 334–6
- [83] Liu L, Chen S-H, Faraone A, Yen C-W and Mou C Y 2005 Pressure dependence of fragile-to-strong transition and a possible second critical point in supercooled confined water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 117802
- [84] Mallamace F, Broccio M, Corsaro C, Faraone A, Wanderlingh U, Liu L, Mou C-Y and Chen S-H 2006 The fragile-to-strong dynamic crossover transition in confined water: NMR results J. Chem. Phys. 124 161102
- [85] Zanotti J-M, Bellissent-Funel M-C and Chen S-H 2005 Experimental evidence of a liquid–liquid transition in interfacial water *Europhys. Lett.* 71 91–7
- [86] Mamontov E 2005 Observation of fragile-to-strong liquid transition in surface water in CeO₂ J. Chem. Phys. 123 171101
- [87] Faraone A, Liu L, Mou C-Y, Yen C-W and Chen S-H 2004 Fragile-to-strong liquid transition in deeply supercooled confined water J. Chem. Phys. 121 10843–6
- [88] Soper A K and Ricci M A 2000 Structures of high-density and low-density water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 2881–4
- [89] Ricci M A and Soper A K 2002 Jumping between water polymorphs *Physica* A 304 43–52
- [90] Bellissent-Funel M-C 1998 Is there a liquid–liquid phase transition in supercooled water? *Europhys. Lett.* 42 161–6
- [91] Tanaka H 1996 A self-consistent phase diagram for supercooled water *Nature* 380 328–30
- [92] Zangi R and Mark A E 2003 Bilayer ice and alternate liquid phases of confined water J. Chem. Phys. **119** 1694–700
- [93] Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2003 A system with multiple liquid–liquid critical points *Physica* A **330** 124–9
- [94] Buldyrev S V, Franzese G, Giovambattista N, Malescio G, Sadr-Lahijany M R, Scala A, Skibinsky A and Stanley H E 2002 Models for a liquid–liquid phase transition *Physica* A 304 23–42
- [95] Franzese G, Malescio G, Skibinsky A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2002 Metastable liquid–liquid phase transition in a single-component system with only one crystal phase and no density anomaly *Phys. Rev.* E 66 051206
- [96] Skibinsky A, Buldyrev S V, Franzese G, Malescio G and Stanley H E 2004 Liquid–liquid phase transitions for soft-core attractive potentials *Phys. Rev. E* 69 061206
- [97] Malescio G, Franzese G, Skibinsky A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2005 Liquid–liquid phase transition for an attractive isotropic potential with wide repulsive range *Phys. Rev.* E 71 061504
- [98] Franzese G 2007 Differences between discontinuous and continuous soft-core attractive potentials: the appearance of density anomaly *J. Mol. Liq.* **136** 267
- [99] de Oliveira A B, Franzese G, Netz P A and Barbosa M C 2008 Waterlike hierarchy of anomalies in a continuous spherical shouldered potential J. Chem. Phys. 128 064901
- [100] Franzese G, Marqués M I and Stanley H E 2003 Intramolecular coupling as a mechanism for a liquid–liquid phase transition *Phys. Rev.* E 67 011103
- [101] van Thiel M and Ree F H 1993 High-pressure liquid–liquid phase change in carbon *Phys. Rev.* B 48 3591–9
- [102] Yamada M, Mossa S, Stanley H E and Sciortino F 2002 Interplay between time-temperature-transformation and the liquid–liquid phase transition in water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 195701
- [103] Yamada M, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 2003 Equation of state of supercooled water from the sedimentation profile *Phys. Rev.* E 67 010202 Rapid communications

- [104] Malescio G, Franzese G, Pellicane G, Skibinsky A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2002 Liquid–liquid phase transitions in a one-component fluid J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 2193–200
- [105] Harrington S, Zhang R, Poole P H, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 1997 Liquid–liquid phase transition: evidence from simulations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** 2409–12
- [106] Paschek D 2005 How the liquid–liquid transition affects hydrophobic hydration in deeply supercooled water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94 217802
- [107] Shiratani E and Sasai M 1998 Molecular scale precursor of the liquid–liquid phase transition of water J. Chem. Phys. 108 3264–76
- [108] Harrington S, Poole P H, Sciortino F and Stanley H E 1997 Equation of state of supercooled SPC/E water J. Chem. Phys. 107 7443–50
- [109] Sciortino F, La Nave E and Tartaglia P 2003 Physics of the liquid–liquid critical point Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 155701
- [110] Poole P H, Saika-Voivod I and Sciortino F 2005 Density minimum and liquid–liquid phase transition J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17 L431–7
- [111] Franzese G, Malescio G, Skibinsky A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2001 Generic mechanism for generating a liquid–liquid phase transition *Nature* 409 692–5
- [112] Scala A, Starr F W, La Nave E, Stanley H E and Sciortino F 2000 The free energy surface of supercooled water *Phys. Rev.* E 62 8016–20
- [113] Canpolat M, Starr F W, Sadr-Lahijany M R, Scala A, Mishima O, Havlin S and Stanley H E 1998 Local structural heterogeneities in liquid water under pressure *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 294 9–12
- [114] Meyer M and Stanley H E 1999 Liquid–liquid phase transition in confined water: a Monte Carlo study J. Phys. Chem. B 103 9728–30
- [115] Starr F W, Nielsen J K and Stanley H E 1999 Fast and slow dynamics of hydrogen bonds in liquid water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 2294–7
- [116] Sadr-Lahijany M R, Scala A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 1999 Water-like anomalies for core-softened models of fluids: one dimension *Phys. Rev.* E 60 6714–21
- [117] Scala A, Sadr-Lahijany M R, Giovambattista N, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2001 Water-like anomalies for core-softened models of fluids: two-dimensional systems *Phys. Rev.* E 63 041202
- [118] Scala A, Sadr-Lahijany M R, Giovambattista N, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2000 Applications of the Stell-Hemmer potential to understanding second critical points in real systems J. Stat. Phys. 100 97–106 (Festschrift for G. S. Stell)
- [119] Sadr-Lahijany M R, Scala A, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 1998 Liquid state anomalies for the Stell–Hemmer core-softened potential *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 4895–8
- [120] Jeffery C A and Austin P H 1999 A new analytic equation of state for liquid water J. Chem. Phys. 110 484–96
- [121] Jagla E A 1999 Core-softened potentials and the anomalous properties of water J. Chem. Phys. 111 8980–6
- [122] Errington J R and Debenedetti P G 2001 Relationship between structural order and the anomalies of liquid water *Nature* 409 318–21
- [123] Errington J R, Debenedetti P G and Torquato S 2002 Cooperative origin of low-density domains in liquid water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 215503
- [124] Yan Z, Buldyrev S V, Giovambattista N and Stanley H E 2005 Structural order for one-scale and two-scale potentials *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 130604
- [125] Netz P A, Buldyrev S V, Barbosa M C and Stanley H E 2006 Thermodynamic and dynamic anomalies for dumbbell molecules interacting with a repulsive ramp-like potential *Phys. Rev.* E 73 061504

- [126] Zangi R 2004 Water confined to a slab geometry: a review of recent computer simulation studies J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 S5371–88
- [127] Glosli J N and Ree F H 1999 Liquid–liquid phase transformation in carbon *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 4659–62
- [128] Katayama Y, Mizutani T, Utsumi W, Shimomura O, Yamakata M and Funakoshi K-I 2000 A first-order liquid–liquid phase transition in phosphorus *Nature* 403 170–3
- [129] Sastry S and Angell C A 2003 Liquid–liquid phase transition in supercooled silicon Nat. Mater. 2 739–43
- [130] Ganesh P and Widom M 2009 Liquid–liquid transition in supercooled silicon determined by first-principles simulation *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 075701
- [131] Vasisht V V, Saw S and Sastry S 2009 Liquid–liquid critical point in supercooled silicon Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA submitted
- [132] Aasland S and McMillan P F 1994 Density-driven liquid–liquid phase separation in the system Al₂O₃–Y₂O₃ *Nature* 369 633–6
- [133] Lacks D J 2000 First-order amorphous-amorphous transformation in silica *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 4629–32
- [134] Poole P H, Hemmati M and Angell C A 1997 Comparison of thermodynamic properties of simulated liquid silica and water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 2281–4
- [135] Togaya M 1997 Pressure dependences of the melting temperature of graphite and the electrical resistivity of liquid carbon *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 2474–7
- [136] Molinero V and Moore E B 2009 Water modeled as an intermediate element between carbon and silicon J. Phys. Chem. B 113 4008–16
- [137] Moore E B and Molinero V 2009 Growing correlation length in supercooled water J. Chem. Phys. 130 244505
- [138] Monaco G, Falconi S, Crichton W A and Mezouar M 2003 Nature of the first-order phase transition in fluid phosphorus at high temperature and pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 255701
- [139] Greaves G N, Wilding M C, Fearn S, Langstaff D, Kargl F, Cox S, Vu Van Q, Majérus O, Benmore C J, Weber R, Martin C M and Hennet L 2008 Detection of first-order liquid/liquid phase transitions in yttrium oxide–aluminum oxide melts *Science* 322 566–70
- [140] Xu L, Ehrenberg I, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2006 Relationship between the liquid–liquid phase transition and dynamic behavior in the jagla model J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 S2239–46
- [141] Xu L, Buldyrev S V, Angell C A and Stanley H E 2006 Thermodynamics and dynamics of the two-scale spherically symmetric jagla ramp model of anomalous liquids *Phys. Rev.* E 74 031108
- [142] Xu L, Buldyrev S V, Giovambattista N, Angell C A and Stanley H E 2009 A monatomic system with a liquid–liquid critical point and two distinct glassy states *J. Chem. Phys.* 130 054505
- [143] Kumar P, Buldyrev S V, Starr F, Giovambattista N and Stanley H E 2005 Thermodynamics, structure, and dynamics of water confined between hydrophobic plates *Phys. Rev.* E 72 051503
- [144] Mazza M G, Giovambattista N, Starr F W and Stanley H E 2006 Relation between rotational and translational dynamic heterogeneities in water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 057803
- [145] Gallo P, Rovere M and Chen S-H Supercooled confined water and the fragile-to-strong crossover *Phys. Rev. Lett.* submitted
- [146] Liu D Z, Zhang Y, Chen C C, Mou C Y, Poole P H and Chen S H 2007 Observation of the density minimum in deeply supercooled confined water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 104 9570–4

- [147] Mallamace F, Branca C, Broccio M, Corsaro C, Mou C Y and Chen S H 2007 The anomalous behavior of the density of water in the range 30 K < T < 373 K *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104** 18387–92
- [148] Levelt J M H 1958 Measurements of the compressibility of argon in the gaseous and liquid phase *PhD Thesis* University of Amsterdam, Van Gorkum and Co.
- [149] Anisimov M A, Sengers J V and Levelt-Sengers J M H 2004 Near-critical behavior of aqueous systems Aqueous System at Elevated Temperatures and Pressures: Physical Chemistry in Water, Stream and Hydrothermal Solutions ed D A Palmer, R Fernandez-Prini and A H Harvey (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [150] Robinson G W, Zhu S-B, Singh S and Evans M W 1996 Water in Biology, Chemistry, and Physics: Experimental Overviews and Computational Methodologies (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [151] Angell C A, Bressel R D, Hemmatti M, Sare E J and Tucker J C 2000 Water and its anomalies in perspective: tetrahedral liquids with and without liquid–liquid phase transitions *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2 1559–66
- [152] Angell C A 1993 Water-II is a strong liquid J. Phys. Chem.
 97 6339–41
- [153] Starr F W, Angell C A and Stanley H E 2003 Prediction of entropy and dynamic properties of water below the homogeneous nucleation temperature *Physica* A 323 51–66
- [154] Horbach J and Kob W 1999 Static and dynamic properties of a viscous silica melt *Phys. Rev.* B 60 3169–81
- [155] Lang E W and Lüdemann H D 1982 Anomalies of liquid water Angew Chem. Int. Edn Engl. 21 315–29
- [156] Prielmeier F X, Lang E W, Speedy R J and Lüdemann H D 1987 Diffusion in supercooled water to 300 MPA *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** 1128–31
- [157] Ito K, Moynihan C T and Angell C A 1999 Thermodynamic determination of fragility in liquids and a fragile-to-strong liquid transition in water *Nature* 398 492–5
- [158] Jagla E A 1999 A model for the fragile-to-strong transition in water J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11 10251–8
- [159] Jagla E A 2001 Low-temperature behavior of core-softened models: water and silica behavior *Phys. Rev.* E 63 061509
- [160] Tanaka H 2003 A new scenario of the apparent fragile-to-strong transition in tetrahedral liquids: water as an example J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 L703–11
- [161] Bergman R and Swenson J 2000 Dynamics of supercooled water in confined geometry *Nature* 403 283–6
- [162] Swenson J, Jansson H, Howells W S and Longeville S 2005 Dynamics of water in a molecular sieve by quasielastic neutron scattering J. Chem. Phys. 122 084505
- [163] Saika-Voivod I, Poole P H and Sciortino F 2001 Fragile-to-strong transition and polyamorphism in the energy landscape of liquid silica *Nature* 412 514–7
- [164] Mallamace F, Corsaro C, Broccio M, Branca C, González-Segredo N, Spooren J, Chen S-H and Stanley H E 2008 NMR evidence of a sharp change in a measure of local order in deeply supercooled confined water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105** 12725–9
- [165] Corsaro C, Spooren J, Branca C, Leone N, Broccio M, Kim C, Chen S-H, Stanley H E and Mallamace F 2008 Clustering dynamics in water/methanol mixtures: a nuclear magnetic resonance study at 205 K < T < 295 K J. Phys. Chem. B 112 10449–54 34
- [166] Mallamace F, Branca C, Broccio M, Corsaro C, Gonzalez-Segredo N, Spooren J, Stanley H E and Chen S-H 2008 Transport properties of supercooled confined water *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* 161 19–33
- [167] Chen S-H, Mallamace F, Mou C Y, Broccio M, Corsaro C, Faraone A and Liu L 2006 The violation of the Stokes–Einstein relation in supercooled water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 103 12974–8

- [168] Mallamace F, Broccio M, Corsaro C, Faraone A, Majolino D, Venuti V, Liu L, Mou C Y and Chen S-H 2007 Evidence of the existence of the low-density liquid phase in supercooled, confined water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104 424–8
- [169] Kumar P, Buldyrev S V, Becker S L, Poole P H, Starr F W and Stanley H E 2007 Relation between the widom line and the breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein relation in supercooled water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104** 9575–9
- [170] Ringe D and Petsko G A 2003 The 'glass transition' in protein dynamics: what it is, why it occurs, and how to exploit it *Biophys. Chem.* **105** 667–80
- [171] Frauenfelder H, Chen G, Berendzen J, Fenimore P W, Jansson H, McMahon B H, Stroe I R, Swenson J and Young R D 2009 A unified model of protein dynamics *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 5129–34
- [172] Rasmussen B F, Ringe M and Petsko G A 1992 Crystalline ribonuclease-A loses function below the dynamic transition at 220 K Nature 357 423–4
- [173] Vitkup D, Ringe D, Petsko G A and Karplus M 2000 Solvent mobility and the protein 'glass' transition *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7 34–8
- [174] Sokolov A P, Grimm H, Kisliuk A and Dianoux A J 1999 Glassy dynamics in DNA: ruled by water of hydration? *J. Chem. Phys.* 110 7053–7
- [175] Tournier A L, Xu J and Smith J C 2003 Translational hydration water dynamics drives the protein glass transition *Biophys. J.* 85 1871–5
- [176] Kumar P, Yan Z, Xu L, Mazza M G, Buldyrev S V, Chen S-H, Sastry S and Stanley H E 2006 Glass transition in biomolecules and the liquid–liquid critical point of water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 177802
- [177] Lagi M, Chu X, Kim C, Mallamace F, Baglioni P and Chen S-H 2008 The low-temperature dynamic crossover phenomenon in protein hydration water: simulations versus experiments J. Phys. Chem. B 112 1571–5
- [178] Chen B, Sigmund E E and Halperin W P 2006 Stokes–Einstein relation in supercooled aqueous solutions of glycerol *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 145502
- [179] Douglas J F and Leporini D 1998 Obstruction model of the fractional Stokes–Einstein relation in glass-forming liquids J. Non-Cryst. Solids 235–237 137–41
- [180] Ngai K L 1999 Alternative explanation of the difference between translational diffusion and rotational diffusion in supercooled liquids J. Phys. Chem. B 103 10684–94
- [181] Kim J and Keyes T 2005 On the breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein law in supercooled liquids J. Phys. Chem. B 109 21445–8
- [182] Tarjus G and Kivelson D 1995 Breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein relation in supercooled liquids J. Chem. Phys. 103 3071–3
- [183] Netz P A, Starr F W, Stanley H E and Barbosa M C 2001 Static and dynamic properties of stretched water J. Chem. Phys. 115 344–8
- [184] Netz P A, Starr F, Barbosa M C and Stanley H E 2002 Translational and rotational diffusion in stretched water *J. Mol. Liq.* 101 159–68
- [185] Becker S R, Poole P H and Starr F W 2006 Fractional Stokes–Einstein and Debye–Stokes–Einstein relations in a network-forming liquid *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 055901
- [186] Berthier L, Chandler D and Garrahan J P 2005 Length scale for the onset of Fickian diffusion in supercooled liquids *Europhys. Lett.* 69 320–6
- [187] Kumar S K, Szamel G and Douglas J F 2006 Nature of the breakdown in the Stokes–Einstein relationship in a hard sphere fluid J. Chem. Phys. 124 214501
- [188] Bordat P, Affouard F, Descamps M and Müller-Plathe F 2003 The breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein relation in supercooled binary liquids J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 5397–407

- [189] Jung Y-J, Garrahan J P and Chandler D 2004 Excitation lines and the breakdown of Stokes–Einstein relations in supercooled liquids *Phys. Rev.* E 69 061205
- [190] Ediger M D, Angell C A and Nagel S R 1996 Supercooled liquids and glasses J. Phys. Chem. 100 13200–12
- [191] Kumar P, Franzese G, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2006 Molecular dynamics study of orientational cooperativity in water *Phys. Rev.* E 73 041505
- [192] Tracht U, Wilhelm M, Heuer A, Feng H, Schmidt-Rohr K and Spiess H W 1998 Length scale of dynamic heterogeneities at the glass transition determined by multidimensional nuclear magnetic resonance *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 2727–30
- [193] Deschenes L A and Vanden Bout D A 2006 Single-molecule studies of heterogeneous dynamics Science 312 195–5
- [194] Glotzer S C 2000 Spatially heterogeneous dynamics in liquids: insights from simulation J. Non-Cryst. Solids 274 342–55
- [195] Perera D N and Harrowell P 1999 Relaxation dynamics and their spatial distribution in a two-dimensional glass-forming mixture J. Chem. Phys. 111 5441–54
- [196] Widmer-Cooper A, Harrowell P and Fynewever H 2004 How reproducible are dynamic heterogeneities in a supercooled liquid? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 135701
- [197] Widmer-Cooper A and Harrowell P 2006 Predicting the long-time dynamic heterogeneity in a supercooled liquid on the basis of short-time heterogeneities *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 185701
- [198] Pan A C, Garrahan J P and Chandler D 2005 Heterogeneity and growing length scales in the dynamics of kinetically constrained lattice gases in two dimensions *Phys. Rev.* E 72 041106
- [199] Donati C, Douglas J F, Kob W, Plimpton S J, Poole P H and Glotzer S C 1998 Stringlike cooperative motion in a supercooled liquid *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 2338–41
- [200] Giovambattista N, Buldyrev S V, Starr F and Stanley H E 2003 Connection between Adam–Gibbs theory and spatially heterogeneous dynamics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90 085506
- [201] Stevenson J D, Schmalian J and Wolynes P G 2006 The shapes of cooperatively rearranging regions in glass-forming liquids *Nat. Phys.* 2 268–74
- [202] Giovambattista N, Mazza M G, Buldyrev S V, Starr F W and Stanley H E 2004 Dynamic heterogeneities in supercooled water J. Phys. Chem. B 108 6655–62
- [203] Giovambattista N, Buldyrev S V, Starr F W and Stanley H E 2005 Clusters of mobile molecules in supercooled water *Phys. Rev.* E 72 011202
- [204] Netz P A, Starr F W, Barbosa M C and Stanley H E 2002 Relation between structural and dynamical anomalies in supercooled water *Physica* A 314 470–6
- [205] Stanley H E, Buldyrev S V, Franzese G, Giovambattista N and Starr F 2005 Static and dynamic heterogeneities in liquid water *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A 363 509–23
- [206] Mazza M G, Giovambattista N, Stanley H E and Starr F W 2007 Connection of translational and rotational dynamical heterogeneities with the breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein and Stokes–Einstein–Debye relations in water *Phys. Rev.* E 76 031202
- [207] Xu L, Mallamace F, Yan Z, Starr F W, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2009 Appearance of a fractional Stokes–Einstein relation in water and a structural interpretation of its onset *Nat. Phys.* 5 565–9
- [208] Stokely K, Mazza M G, Stanley H E and Franzese G 2009 Effect of hydrogen bond cooperativity on the behavior of water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* submitted (arXiv:0805.3468 [cond-mat])
- [209] Kumar P, Franzese G and Stanley H E 2008 Predictions of dynamic behavior under pressure for two scenarios to explain water anomalies *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 105701

- [210] Kumar P, Franzese G and Stanley H E 2008 Dynamics and thermodynamics of water J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 244114
- [211] Kumar P, Franzese G, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2008 Aspects of Physical Biology: Biological Water, Protein Solutions, Transport and Replication (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 752) ed G Franzese and M Rubi (Berlin: Springer)
- [212] Li F-F, Cui Q-L, He Z, Zhang J, Zhou Q and Zou G-T 2005 High pressure–temperature Brillouin study of liquid water: evidence of the structural transition from low-density water to high-density water J. Chem. Phys. 123 174511
- [213] Franzese G, Stokely K, Chu X-Q, Kumar P, Mazza M G, Chen S-H and Stanley H E 2008 Pressure effects in supercooled water: comparison between a 2D model of water and experiments for surface water on a protein *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 20 494210
- [214] Chu X-q, Faraone A, Kim C, Fratini E, Baglioni P, Leao J B and Chen S-H 2009 Proteins remain soft at lower temperatures under pressure J. Phys. Chem. B 113 5001–6
- [215] Mazza M G, Stokely K, Stanley H E and Franzese G 2008 Anomalous specific heat of supercooled water arXiv:0807.4267 [cond-mat]
- [216] Mazza M G, Stokely K, Strekalova E G, Stanley H E and Franzese G 2009 Cluster Monte Carlo and numerical mean field analysis for the water liquid–liquid phase transition *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** 497
- [217] Xu S, Scherer G W, Mahadevan T S and Garofalini S H 2009 Thermal expansion of confined water *Langmuir* 25 5076–83
- [218] Han S, Kumar P and Stanley H E 2008 Absence of a diffusion anomaly of water in the direction perpendicular to hydrophobic nanoconfining walls *Phys. Rev.* E 77 030201(R)
- [219] Yan Z, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2008 Relation of water anomalies to the excess entropy *Phys. Rev.* E 78 051201
- [220] Han S, Kumar P and Stanley H E 2009 Hydrogen-bond dynamics of water in a quasi-two-dimensional hydrophobic nanopore slit *Phys. Rev.* E **79** 041202
- [221] Han S, Choi M Y, Kumar P and Stanley H E 2009 Solid–liquid critical point in confined water films *Nat. Phys.* submitted
- [222] Mallamace F, Branca C, Corsaro C, Leone N, Spooren J, Stanley H E and Chen S-H 2009 The fragile-to-strong dynamical crossover and the breakdown in the Stokes–Einstein relation in water J. Phys. Chem. submitted
- [223] Huang C, Wikfeldt K T, Tokushima T, Nordlund D, Harada Y, Bergmann U, Niebuhr M, Weiss T M, Horikawa Y, Leetmaa M, Ljungberg M P, Takahashi O, Lenz A, Ojamäe L, Lyubartsev A P, Shin S, Pettersson L G M and Nilsson A 2009 The inhomogeneous structure of water at ambient conditions *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106 15214–8
- [224] Zhang Y, Faraone A, Kamitakahara W A, Liu K-H, Mou C-Y and Chen S-H 2009 Experimental evidence of the existence of a liquid–liquid critical point in supercooled confined water *Nature* submitted
- [225] Kumar P, Buldyrev S V and Stanley H E 2009 Space–time correlations in the orientational order parameter and the orientational entropy of water *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* at press
- [226] Liu L 2005 Study of slow dynamics in supercooled water by molecular dynamics and quasi-elastic neutron scattering *PhD Thesis* MIT September
- [227] Mazza M G, Stokely K, Pagnotta S E, Bruni F, Stanley H E and Franzese G 2009 Two dynamic crossovers in protein hydration water *Phys. Rev. Lett.* submitted
 - Mazza M G, Stokely K, Pagnotta S E, Bruni F, Stanley H E and Franzese G 2009 arXiv:0907.1810 [cond-mat]